

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/653,788	09/01/2000	Stephen F. Smith	25016-49	5601
25094 75	94 7590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
GRAY, CARY, WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP			TRAN, KHAI	
SUITE 400	MOPAC EXPRESSWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78746-6875			2631	13
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/653,788	SMITH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KHAI TRAN	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	nuary 2004.				
·_ ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24,27 and 28 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25,26 and 29 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,9-14,17,18,27 and 28 is/are rejective. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6,8,15,16 and 19-24 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	cithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	ACTION OF FORM PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 Notice of Draisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.4.5. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/653,788 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

-]. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of group I including claims 1-12, 13-24, and 27-28 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Applicant's traversal the restriction by merely stating that "the traverse is based on the fact that examination of all claims would not be a burden on the Office despite their possible disparate classification(s) " without distinctly and specifically pointing out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement is not found persuasive. Thus, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 1. Claims 25-26, 29 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected and they should be canceled.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 Page 16, line 15, the blank space of the U.S. Applicant No. should be provided.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2631

- 3. Claims 1-5, 9-12, 14, 17-18, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DaSilva (U.S. Pat. 5,105,168).
- 4. Regarding claims 1, 2, 12, DaSilva discloses an improved digital data receiver synchronization apparatus as shown in Figure 3, comprising: a plurality of memory devices (a vector locked loops (10) having two phase locked loops (PLLs) (col. 3, lines 31-42)) for receiving multiple timing signals (64, 66); feedback means (32, 36) interconnecting the memory devices and cross-coupling signals (48, 58) produced by the memory device; a common frequency reference source (i.e., a reference signal, col. 3, lines 27-29) in communication with the plurality of memory devices for driving the plurality of memory devices.

Regarding 3, DaSilva discloses wherein the multiple timing signals includes at least one signal selected from the group consisting of an RF carrier signal, a data bit-rate signal, a data chip-rate signal, a data frame-rate signal, and a data burst-or packet-rate signal (the vector locked loop is as a RF power amplifier (col. 6, lines 33-34), therefore, it is an FR carrier signal).

Regarding claims 4-5, DaSilva discloses that the vector locked loop (10) is somewhat similar to two cross-coupled phase locked loops, where both magnitude and phase are used as feedback signals (col. 3, lines 31-34) and DaSilva also discloses that the output signal will track the phase, frequency, and amplitude of the input signal. Therefore, the multiple timing signals are integrally or fractionally related in frequency, phase or both frequency and phase; and rationally multiply related in frequency and/or phase.

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 9, DaSilva discloses wherein the signal cross-coupled by the feedback means include at least one signal selected from the group consisting of error signals, output signals, and both error and output signals (see Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 10-11, DaSilva discloses both magnitude and phase are used as feedback signals. Therefore, analog and digital signals are inherently included in the magnitude and phase signals.

Claims 14, 17 are similar to claims 3, and 9. Therefore, claims 14, 17 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Regarding claim 18, DaSilva discloses the phase-frequency detector being a digital phase-frequency detector (Fig. 3).

Claim 28 is similar to claim 2. Therefore, claim 28 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7, 13, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DaSilva (U.S. Pat. 5,105,168) in view of Lee (U.S. Pat. 5,568,078).

Application/Control Number: 09/653,788 Page 5

Art Unit: 2631

7. Regarding claim 7, DaSilva fails to disclose wherein the common frequency reference is an oscillator by a crystal, SAW device, ceramic resonator, mechanical resonator, dielectric resonator, or external source.

Lee discloses an oscillating output unit 1 as shown Fig. 2, for generating an external clock signal (as an external clock source). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to utilize the external source for generating a reference frequency in order to enable the phase detector to compare the feedback signal with the reference frequency for reducing error rate.

Claim 13 is similar to claims 1 and 7. DaSilva also discloses the phase locked loop comprising phase-frequency detectors (34, 38). Therefore, claim 13 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Claim 27 is similar to claims 1 and 13. Therefore, claim 27 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6, 8, 15-16, 19-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2631

Jaffe et al (U.S. Pat. 3,723,718) disclose a simulation through rotating coordinate transformation.

Parakash et al (U.S. Pat. 5,717,730) disclose a multiple monolithic phase locked loops.

Takeuchi (U.S. Pat. 6,239,729) discloses an image processor and integrated circuit for the same.

Kurd (U.S. Pat. 6,469,550) discloses a method and an apparatus for skew measure and dynamic skew and jitter error compensation.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (703)305-1876. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammed Ghayour can be reached on (703)306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2631

Page 7

KHAI TRAN RATENT EXAMINER

KT March 18, 2004